BMA rule: Expression may be simplified

Explanation

This rule checks whether boolean logic in an expression can be simplified. If so, the simplified expression is reported as issue.

It applies common laws of boolean algebra, like

- the distributive law: (A OR B) AND (A OR C) = A OR (B AND C)
- the distributive law: (A AND B) OR (A AND C) = A AND (B OR C)
- the absorption law: A OR (A AND B) = A
- the absorption law: A AND (A OR B) = A
- the double negation law: NOT (NOT A) = A
- ...

We estimate that resolving this issue will on average take 5 minutes.

Possible improvement

See if the suggestion you get for simplification is equally understandable (or better) for a business engineer, and if so replace the expression with the suggested expression.

Example 1

This example expression is quite simple, and probably easy for any business engineer to simplify. It is just to illustrate the concept, and you can imagine that it also works for bigger boolean expressions that are harder for humans to comprehend.

Person.IsAdult ×				
Care4Less				
	62			
Entity 🛞	Person -			
Name	IsAdult Askable			
Functional name				
Туре	≠ Boolean → MultiValued			
Value list 🛛 🛞	- <u></u>			
DEFAULT VALUE				
Type Expression 🔹				
Expressio	<pre>(Person.Age > 18) OR (Person.Age > 18 AND NOT Person.IsMarried) OR (Person.Age <= 18 AND Person.IsMarried) </pre>			

The BMA detects that this expression can be simplified (by applying the absorption law), and gives this suggestion:

Attribute Person.IsAdult contains expression '(Person.Age > 18) OR (Person.Age > 18 AND NOT Person.IsMarried) OR (Person.Age <= 18 AND Person.IsMarried)' that can be simplified to '(Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age' See Rule Code Smell
Automatical Second Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) OR (Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age' See Rule Code Smell
Automatical Second Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age' Second Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age' Second Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age = Person.Age > 18 OR Person.IsMarried) AND Person.Age > 18 OR Person.Age > 18 OR

... and yes, a decision table would be even better in this case.

Example 2

The BMA now also detects tautologies and contradictions, e.g.:

Applicant.Age < 18 AND Applicant.Age > 18	TRUE	FALSE	?

In common boolean logic (where you make a truth table), this would be a clear contradiction and would result in FALSE. In Blueriq however, the result is unknown when Applicant.Age = ?.

Therefore the alternative suggested by the BMA is not plain FALSE but this:

DecisionTable ApplicantIsChild contains expression 'Applicant.Age < 18 AND Applicant.Age > 18' that can be simplified to 'Applicant.Age != Applicant.Age' See Rule

Code Smell
Code Smell

For tautologies, you'll instead find "Applicant.Age = Applicant.Age" instead.